

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The amendment filed on September 29th, 2009 has been entered.
2. In view of the amendment to the claims, the amendment of claims 1, 3, 5 and 6 has been acknowledged.
3. In view of the amendment to the claims, the rejection of claims 1 – 3 and 5 – 7 under 35 U.S.C. 112 2nd has been withdrawn.
4. In view of the amendment to claim 3, the rejection of claim 3 under 35 U.S.C. 101 has been withdrawn.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments, see page 7 of the Remarks, filed on September 29th, 2009, with respect to the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112 2nd and 101 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejections have been withdrawn.

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

6. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Edward Tracy (Reg. No. 47,998) on October 22nd, 2009.

The application has been amended as follows:

In the claims:

- Claim 1: Please amend lines 9 – 11 to recite

"model generating means for modeling the relation between ~~the~~ a pixel value of each of the pixels within said processing region and ~~the~~ a pixel value of each of the pixels without movement blurring occurring,..."

- Claim 3: Please amend lines 9 – 11 to recite

"modeling the relation between ~~the~~ a pixel value of each of the pixels within said processing region and ~~the~~ a pixel value of each of the pixels without movement blurring occurring,..."

- Claim 5: Please amend lines 10 – 12 to recite

"modeling the relation between ~~the~~ a pixel value of each of the pixels within said processing region and ~~the~~ a pixel value of each of the pixels without movement blurring occurring,..."

- Claim 6: Please amend lines 9 – 11 to recite

"a model generating unit configured to model the relation between ~~the~~ a pixel value of each of the pixels within said processing region and ~~the~~ a pixel value of each of the pixels without movement blurring occurring,..."

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 1, 2, 3, 5 [renumbered as 4], 6 [renumbered as 5] and 7 [renumbered as 6] are allowed.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MICHAEL A. NEWMAN whose telephone number is (571) 270-3016. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Thurs from 9:30am to 6:30pm (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bhavesh M. Mehta can be reached on (571) 272-7453. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Bhavesh M Mehta/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2624

M.A.N.